



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,972	12/01/2003	Lynn Doucette-Stamm	PATH03-16	3315
23856 75	590 06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
OSCIENT PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION 1000 WINTER STREET			BASKAR, PADMAVATHI	
Suite 2200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WALTHAM, MA 02451			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,972	DOUCETTE-STAMM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Padmavathi v. Baskar	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1- 32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or expending the application of the application and/or expending in the application and	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

166

Application/Control Number: 10/724,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 and 32 drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.7.
 Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).
- II. Claims 14-16, drawn to methods of treatment comprising administering
 DNA molecules, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
 Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).
- III. Claims 17-20, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).
- IV. Claims 21-23, drawn to methods of treatment comprising administering polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1
 Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).
- V. Claim 24 drawn to methods of detecting Streptococcus, classified in class435, subclass 6.
 - Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).
- VI. Claims 25-27 or 28-29 or 30-31 drawn to computer medium, computer based system or a method for identifying nucleic acid using database system or a method for identifying an expression modulating factor using database system, classified in class 702, subclass 20.

Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 2).

2. Additionally, Groups I-VI are further restricted according to MPEP 803.04 which sets forth that molecules with a distinct sequence are distinct inventions. Accordingly, applicant is restricted to a single sequence for examination (e.g., SEQ ID NO:3773, 3774, etc). Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I – VI and one of SEQ ID NO.

Art Unit: 1645

Inventions SEQ ID NO: 1-3772 or 3773-7544 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions; represent structurally different polypeptides and the polynucleotides encoding them. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression, the different sequences have different effects. Thus, each sequence is unique and patentably distinct since each sequence has a different structure with specific amino acid or nucleic acid and is identified by a specific SEQ.ID.NO. Restriction is deemed proper because these products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. These sequences are thus deemed to constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed SEQ.ID.NO from any group elected.

Page 3

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: invention 1, drawn to DNA and invention III drawn to a protein molecule are distinct since they are products with a different structure and biological properties. The protein is made of amino acids whereas the nucleic acid molecule consists of nucleotides. Further methods known in the art used to make the polypeptide require different reagents and parameters from the methods of making the nucleic acid encoding the protein and the method of making the polypeptide does not require the nucleic acid. For instance, the protein can be made by Merrifield chemical synthesis or affinity chromatography.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process

Art Unit: 1645

for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP i 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of treatment can be readily practiced with well-known antibiotics, e.g. tetracycline.

- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP ï 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of treatment can be readily practiced with well-known antibiotics, e.g. tetracycline.
- 6. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP j 806.05(h)). In the instant case the detection of Streptococcus in a sample can be accomplished via affinity chromatography.
- 7. Invention VI is distinct from Inventions I-V since it requires a computer-based medium. Further, the medium containing nucleotides not only used for storing the recorded data but also can be used in different methods such as a method for identifying nucleic acid using database system or a method for identifying an expression-modulating factor etc. Therefore, if applicants elect group VI, then applicant is required to elect either computer medium or one method.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

Art Unit: 1645

proper.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1 .143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1 .48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1 .48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1 .17(i).
- 11. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center, which receives transmissions 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The Right Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PMR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PMR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Padma Baskar Ph.D., whose telephone number is ((571) 272-0853. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. except First Friday of each bi-week.

Art Unit: 1645

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. Any inquiry of a general nature

or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist

whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Padma Baskar Ph.D.

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600